52. Synthesis of (\pm) -Pyrenolide B

by Attila Moricz¹), Elisabeth Gassmann, Stefan Bienz, and Manfred Hesse*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(13.II.95)


In the synthesis of the title compound 12, the important intermediate 7 was obtained in good yield from the easily available ethyl 5,5-ethylenedioxy-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (1) via ring enlargement of the bicyclic enol ether 5 (Scheme). Its reduction (NaBH₄, CeCl₃ in EtOH) and subsequent protection with (*t*-Bu)Me₂Si resulted in the highly functionalized ten-membered lactone 9. Introduction of the (Z)-configurated double bond, followed by deprotection and elimination of H₂O, gave (\pm)-pyrenolide B (12) in 16% overall yield.

1. Introduction. – In the course of our studies on the use of α -activated cycloalkanones as starting materials for ring-enlargement reactions, we have acquired an intimate insight into the mechanistics and the scope of such transformations and have prepared a number of medium- and large-size cyclic ketones, lactones, and lactams. Some of these compounds have successfully served as precursors for natural products [1] such as the structurally simple ketones and lactones muscone [2], 15-pentadecanolide [3], (--)-(R)-phoracantholide I [4], (+)-(S)-15-hexadecanolide [5], dihydrorecifeiolide [6], and the lactone antibiotic A 26771 B [7], as well as the macrocyclic compound muscopyridine [8], and the spermidine alkaloids inandenin-10-ol, inandenin-10-one, and oncinotine [9].

In continuing our investigation of the ring-enlargement procedure [6], using the oxidative cleavage of the bridge of bicyclic enol ethers to acquire the macrocycle [10] [11], we designed a straightforward synthesis of (\pm) -pyrenolide B (12), starting from the readily available 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate derivative 1 [12] (*Scheme*). (-)-Pyrenolide B was isolated by *Nukina et al.* [13] from *Pyrenophora teres* (IFO 7508), a phytopathogenic fungus in 1980. The general interest in morphogenic substances lies in their phytotoxic properties. Pyrenolide B (12), for example, inhibits the growth of rice seedlings. So far, the compound has been synthesized twice, both syntheses being based on ring-enlargement reactions [14] [15].

2. Results and Discussion. – The ring-enlargement precursor 5, required for the construction of (\pm) -pyrenolide B (12), was synthesized from 1 using a procedure similar to that described earlier for the preparation of (\pm) -dihydrorecifeiolide I [6]. The carboxy-late 1 was initially reacted with acrylaldehyde to produce the *Michael*-addition product 2 (*Scheme*). Bu₄NF was found to be a most effective catalyst for this transformation. When the reaction was performed in the presence of 2% Bu₄NF at -70° , the desired product was obtained in almost quantitative yield. Subsequent treatment of the aldehyde 2 with excess of Me₂Ti(i-PrO)₂ gave rise to a mixture of the four cyclic hemiacetals 3a-d (80%)

¹) Part of the planned Ph. D. thesis of A. M.



a) 1. Acrylaldehyde, Bu₄NF (kat.), THF; 2. 2% aq. AcOH. b) TiMe₂(i-PrO)₂, THF. c) 0.5M aq. KOH soln., EtOH. d) Camphorsulfonic acid, Δ , toluene. e) Monoperoxyphthalic acid, 'wet' Et₂O. f) Pb(OAc)₄, benzene. g) NaBH₄, 0.4M CeCl₃·7 H₂O in EtOH, THF. h) 2,6-Dimethylpyridine, (*t*-Bu)Me₂Si triflate, CH₂Cl₂, -50°. i) 1. LDA; 2. PhSeBr; 3. 0.1M aq. HCl soln., THF; 4. O₃, (i-Pr)₂NH, CH₂Cl₂. j) PPTS, 'wet' acetone. k) CF₃COOH, CH₂Cl₂. m) Burgess reagent, MeCN.

yield) wherefrom the major isomer 3a ($2R^*$, $4aR^*$, $8aS^*$) was easily separated by flash chromatography and examined by single-crystal X-ray analysis. Heating the mixture of all four isomers 3a-d with KOH in an aqueous EtOH solution delivered quantitatively deethoxycarbonylation products of the constitution 4, which were dehydrated to the

desired bicyclic enol ether 5 in 70% yield by refluxing the compounds in the presence of camphorsulfonic acid in toluene.

The oxidative cleavage of the bridge in 5 to obtain finally the oxo-lactone 7 was performed using a two-step procedure as originally described by *Borowitz et al.* [11]. The reaction of 5 with 'wet' monoperoxyphthalic acid leading to diol 6 (73%) was followed by the oxidation of this intermediate with $Pb(OAc)_4$ to afford quantitatively the ring-enlarged product 7. The direct conversion of compound 5 into 7 by oxidation with *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid, permanganate, pyridinium chlorochromate, or by ozonolysis was not advantageous, giving rise to only 44% of the desired product at its best.

The important intermediate 7 was fully characterized by IR, ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR, and mass spectra, as well as clemental analysis. The molecular formula was supported by the CI-MS with the sole signals at m/z 260 (100 rel. %) and 243 (42 rel. %) for the $[M + NH_4]^+$ and the $[M + H]^+$ ions, respectively. Characteristic for the two CO groups in the structure of 7 were found the COO and CO stretching vibrations at 1720 and 1675 cm⁻¹ in the IR and the signals for the corresponding C-atoms at 207.4 (ketone) and 171.3 ppm (lactone) in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum. Additionally, it was possible to assign all proton signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum by means of ¹H, ¹H-NOESY and ¹H, ¹H-COESY experiments [16].

Oxo-lactone 7 was reduced with the *Luche* method [17] – using NaBH₄ and CeCl₃ in EtOH – to the hydroxy-lactone 8 (86%) which was protected as a (*t*-Bu)Me₂Si ether by treatment with (*t*-Bu)Me₂Si triflate in the presence of 2,6-dimethylpyridine at -50° (97% of 9). The addition of CeCl₃ to NaBH₄ in the reduction step was important due to the low reactivity of the ten-membered cyclic oxo-lactone 7. The use of the highly reactive (*t*-Bu)Me₂Si triflate as the silylating reagent, which reacts at low temperature, was crucial for the protection step to avoid intramolecular translactonization, as it was observed in similar systems under basic conditions. To introduce the double bond in α -position to the lactone C=O group, compound 9 was subsequently α -selenylated and oxidized. After selenoxide elimination, 67% of α , β -unsaturated lactone 10 were obtained. The introduction of the C=C bond proceeded with high (*Z*)-selectivity, which was confirmed on the basis of ¹H-NMR data ($J_{vic} = 11.7$ Hz). This is contrasting the assumption of *Asaoka et al.* [15], who feared that such a C=C bond introduction would deliver the (*E*)-configurated product, and, therefore, introduced the corresponding (*Z*)-configurated C=C bond in protected form early in their preparation of pyrenolide B (12).

The synthesis of pyrenolide B (12) was completed by deprotection of the masked C=O and OH groups followed by elimination of H_2O (*Scheme*). Treatment of lactone 10 with CF₃COOH in CH₂Cl₂ afforded directly a mixture of the desired product 12 (22%) and the alcohol 11 (52%). The latter compound was transferred separately into the final product 12 in 79% yield (overall 63% from 10) by the action of the *Burgess* reagent [18]. Heating alternatively precursor 10 with pyridinium *para*-toluene sulfonate (PPTS) in 'wet' acetone at reflux afforded no pyrenolide B (12) but solely alcohol 11 (68%) together with ring-contracted product 13 (14%). It is interesting to note that the reaction of the two diastereoisomers of 10 (*cis* and *trans*, ratio *ca*. 4:1) delivered in both reactions the single isomer 11 (the *cis*-product), indicating that the corresponding *trans*-product 13, or to decomposition under the reaction conditions.

The authors are grateful to the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support. We thank the members of our analytical departments for measuring the spectra and the elemental analyses, as well as Dr. A. Linden for the X-ray analyses.

Experimental Part

1. General. All experiments were carried out under N₂. All reagents used were of high commercial quality. Solvents for CC were distilled prior to use. TLC: Silica gel 60, F_{254} (Merck). CC: Silica gel 60 (Merck, 0.040–0.063 mm). M.p.: Mettler FP 5. The m.p. of mixtures of diastereoisomers are not given. UV: Hewlett-Packard '8452 A Diode Array'; in MeOH; λ_{max} in nm (log ε). IR: Perkin-Elmer 297; \tilde{v} in cm⁻¹ in KBr. ¹H-NMR: Bruker AC 300 (300 MHz); in CDCl₃; chemical shifts in ppm as δ values relative to CDCl₃ ($\delta = 7.26$ ppm, J in Hz); Bruker AM 400 (400 MHz) for decoupling experiments. ¹³C-NMR: Varian XL 200 (50.4 MHz); in CDCl₃: internal standard: CDCl₃ (δ (C) = 77.0 ppm, t, ¹J(C,D) = 31.5 Hz). Cl-MS: Finnigan SSQ 700 or Finnigan MAT 90; m/z (rel.%); chemical ionisation (CI) with NH₃ as reactant gas. X-Ray analysis: Rigaku AFC5R. The X-ray data of the compounds **3a**, **11**, and **12** are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

2. Ethyl 5,5-(Ethylenedioxy)-1-(3-oxopropyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (2). To a soln. of 0.96 ml of Bu₄NF (1M in THF) and 11.0 g (48.2 mmol) of ethyl 5,5-(ethylenedioxy)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (1) in 100 ml of THF at -70° were added slowly 3.8 ml (57.8 mmol) of acrylaldehyde. It was allowed slowly to warm up to 23°, and stirring was continued for 12 h. The mixture was quenched with 2.4 ml of 2% aq. AcOH soln. and filtered through a plug of SiO₂ with Et₂O/hexane 2:1. Evaporation of the solvent and drying at 10⁻² mbar gave 14.11 g of crude **2** as a viscous oil. Except of a small amount for the spectroscopic data, the product was used without further purification in the next step. M.p. 44.2-47.1° (Et₂O/hexane). IR: 2735 (CHO), 1725 (CO₂), 1715 (CO, CHO), 1450, 1365, 1300, 1255. ¹H-NMR: 9.73 (s, CHO); 4.25, 4.17 (*AB* of *ABX*₃, $J_{AB} = 10.8$, $J_{AX} = J_{BX} = 7.1$, MeCH₂); 4.06–3.93 (m, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.12–3.00 (m, 1 H); 2.77–2.66 (m, 1 H); 2.60 (dt, J = 13.9, 1.6, 1 H–C(6)); 2.47 (dt, J = 14.5, 4.2, 1 H); 2.36–2.24 (m, 1 H); 2.14–1.97 (m, 3 H); 1.90–1.80 (m, 1 H); 1.76 (d, J = 13.9, 1 H–C(6)); 1.29 (t, X₃ of *ABX*₃, J = 7.1, MeO. ¹³C-NMR: 206.9 (s, C(2)); 200.9 (d, CHO); 171.9 (s, CO₂); 106.5 (s, C(5)); 65.0, 64.3 (2t, OCH₂CH₂O); 61.5 (t, MeCH₂O); 57.2 (s, C(1)); 42.4, 39.5, 37.7, 35.3, 27.4 (5t, 5 C); 13.9 (q, Me). CI-MS: 302 (100, [M + NH4]⁺), 285 (47. [M + 1]⁺), 267 (39, [M + 1 - H₂O]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₂₀O₆ (284.31): C 59.14, H 7.09; found: C 59.41, H 7.18.

3. Ethyl 3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Octahydro-8a-hydroxy-2-methylspiro[2H-[1]benzopyran-6,2'-dioxolane]-4a-carboxylate (3a-d). To an ice-cooled soln. of 1.87 ml (6.33 mmol) of (i-PrO)₄Ti in 20 ml of THF were added dropwise 0.68 ml (6.33 mmol) of TiCl₄. The soln. turned immediately yellow. After stirring for 1 h at 23°, the mixture was cooled to -78° , and 15.9 ml (25.3 mmol) of MeLi (ca. 1.6M in Et₂O) were added slowly over 30 min. The clear yellow soln, turned meanwhile to an orange suspension. The temp. was raised to -30° and the suspension cleared up to a deep red soln. Compound 2 (1.80 g, 6.33 mmol) in 10 ml of THF was added to the mixture, and, after 3 h stirring at -10° , 9 ml of 20% aq. KF soln. were added. Instantly, a fine colorless precipitate was formed, which was filtered off on a column with MgSO₄/SiO₂/MgSO₄ with Et₂O as eluent. Evaporation of the solvent yielded 2.12 g of a crude oily product which was sufficiently pure for further transformation. Purification of a sample by CC (70 g SiO₂, Et₂O/hexane 13:6) afforded **3a** and **3b-d**.

Data of **3a**: M.p. 92.1–94.3° (Et₂O/hexane). IR: 3460 (OH), 1695 (CO₂), 1450, 1390, 1310. ¹H-NMR: 4.90 (*s*, OH); 4.28–4.09 (*m*, H–C(2), MeCH₂O); 4.03–3.77 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 2.26 (*d*, J = 13.6, 1 H–C(5)); 2.21–1.93 (*m*, 4 H); 1.84 ·1.78 (*m*, 1 H); 1.62–1.46 (*m*, 4 H); 1.30 (*t*, J = 7.1, $MeCH_2O$); 1.17 (*d*, J = 6.2, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 178.4 (*s*, CO₂); 108.5 (*s*, C(6)); 95.0 (*s*, C(8a)); 65.1 (*d*, C(2)); 64.5, 64.2 (2*t*, OCH₂CH₂O); 60.8 (*t*, MeCH₂O); 47.4 (*s*, C(4a)); 36.6, 35.1, 32.3, 29.0, 27.6 (5*t*, 5 C); 21.6 (*q*, Me); 14.0 (*q*, $MeCH_2O$). CI-MS: 300 (12, $[M + NH_4 - H_2O]^+$), 283 (100, $[M + 1 - H_2O]^+$). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₂₄O₆ (300.35): C 59.98, H 8.05; found: C 60.20, H 8.10.

Data of **3b d** (ratio *ca.* 1:1:2; determined by integration of the Me groups in the ¹H-NMR spectrum): IR (film): 3450 (OH), 1735, 1715 (CO₂), 1450, 1370, 1300. ¹H-NMR: 4.83 (*s*, OH); 4.21–4.01 (*m*, H–C(2), MeCH₂O); 3.97–3.72 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.00–1.38 (*m*, 20 H); 1.29–1.19 (*m*, MeCH₂O); 1.14, 1.10, 1.09 (3*d*, J = 6.2, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 176.6, 173.5, 172.2 (3*s*, CO₂); 108.1, 107.7, 106.6 (3*s*, C(6)); 97.6, 95.6, 94.1 (3*s*, C(8a)); 69.2, 67.9, 66.3 (3*d*, C(2)); 64.9, 64.8, 64.5, 64.2, 64.1, 63.8 (6*t*, OCH₂CH₂O): 61.3, 61.1, 59.9, 57.8 (4*t*, MeCH₂O); 48.8, 48.6 (2*s*, C(4a)); 41.8, 40.6, 40.0, 37.8, 35.2, 33.9, 33.1, 32.6, 31.5, 31.2, 30.9, 29.9, 29.2, 28.7, 28.1 (15*t*, 15 C); 23.3, 22.1, 21.7 (3*q*, Me): 14.1, 13.9 (2*q*, MeCH₂O). CI-MS: 318 (12, [M + NH₄]⁺), 301 (4, [M + 1]⁺), 300 (16, [M + NH₄-H₂O]⁺), 283 (100, [M + 1 - H₂O]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₂₄O₆ (300.35): C 59.98, H 8.05; found: C 60.25, H 7.86.

4. 3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Octahydro-2-methylspiro[2H-[1]benzopyran-6,2'-dioxolane]-8a-ol (4). To a soln. of 100 mg (0.33 mmol) of **3a-d** in 1.5 ml of EtOH were added 1.3 ml of 0.5M aq. KOH soln., and the mixture was heated 1.5 h at reflux. The dark yellow soln. was poured on brine and extracted with Et₂O. The combined org. layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated: 72 mg (95%) of a yellow oil which was used in the next step without further purification. To obtain the spectroscopic data, a small amount was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation (air bath: $80-100^{\circ}/10^{-3}$ mbar). IR: 3440 (OH), 1450, 1365, 1300, 1250. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD), (2

diastereoisomers *ca*. 4:1): 4.13–4.06 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.95–3.87 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 2.27–1.18 (*m*, 11 H); 1.11, 1.09 (2*d*, J = 6.2, Me). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD): 110.1 (*s*, C(6)); 96.7 (*s*, C(8a)); 67.2, 67.0 (2*d*, C(2)); 65.3, 65.2 (2*t*, OCH₂CH₂O); 41.1 (*d*, C(4a)); 39.2, 37.9, 36.8, 36.6 (4*t*, 4 C); 36.3 (*d*, C(4a)); 34.8, 33.0, 32.6, 28.2, 26.1, 24.6 (6*t*, 6 C); 22.3, 22.1 (2*q*, Me). CI-MS: 246 (3, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 229 (1, [*M* + 1]⁺), 228 (6, [*M* + NH₄ – H₂O]⁺), 211 (100, [*M* + 1 – H₂O]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₂₀O₄ (228.29): C 63.14, H 8.83; found: C 63.39, H 8.66.

5. 3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2-methylspiro[2H-[1]benzopyran-6,2'-dioxolane] (5). In a flask fitted with a Dean-Stark water trap, 2.5 g (11.0 mmol) of 4 and 51 mg (0.2 mmol) of camphorsulfonic acid were dissolved in 100 ml of toluene and refluxed for 30 min. The soln. was evaporated, the yellow residue dissolved in Et₂O, and washed (3 ×) with a sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H₂O, and brine. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation yielded 2.42 g of a yellow liquid. After CC (75 g SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 1:1), 1.24 g (54%) of **5** and 870 mg (35%) of **4** were isolated. The reaction was repeated with the recovered **4** but chromatographed on basic Al₂O₃ (*Woelm*, activity I) instead of SiO₂ resulting in an additional 358 mg of **5**. Total yield of **5**: 1.6 g (70%). IR (film): 1700 (C=C, enol ether), 1450, 1380, 1370. ¹H-NMR: 4.10–3.89 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.87–3.77 (*m*, H–C(2)); 2.24–2.06 (*m*, 4 H); 2.02–1.84 (*m*, 1 H); 1.77–1.67 (*m*, 4 H); 1.58–1.42 (*m*, 1 H); 1.19 (*d*, *J* = 6.3, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 145.6 (*s*, C(8a)); 108.2 (*s*, C(6)); 101.0 (*s*, C(4a)); 71.2 (*d*, C(2)); 64.4, 64.3 (2*t*, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.87, 31.1, 29.6, 26.2, 25.4 (5*t*, 5 C); 21.2 (*q*, Me). CI-MS: 211 ([*M* + 1]⁺). Anal. calc. for Ct₁₂H₁₈O₃ (210.27): C 68.55, H 8.63; found: C 68.84, H 8.78.

6. 3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Octahydro-2-methylspiro[2H-[1]benzopyran-6,2'-dioxolane]-4a,8a-diol (6). To a soln. of 4.37 g (20.8 mmol) of 5 in 40 ml of Et₂O were added 100 ml (27 mmol) of a 0.27M monoperoxyphthalic acid soln. in 'wet' Et₂O (freshly prepared). Vigorous stirring was continued for 26 h at 23°. The precipitated phthalic acid was filtered off, the org. layer washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., and the aq. layer rewashed with CH₂Cl₂. After combining and drying (Na₂SO₄) of all org. extracts, followed by evaporation, 5.08 g of a yellow oil were obtained. The crude product was purified by CC (150 g SiO₂, Et₂O/hexane 10:1): 3.70 g (73%) of 6, colorless oil, which crystallized upon standing at 4°. IR: 3480 (OH), 1435, 1380, 1370. ¹H-NMR (2 diastereoisomers ca. 3:1): 4.22, 3.11 (2s, OH, with D₂O exchanged); 4.21–4.09 (m, H–C(2)); 4.05–3.90 (m, OCH₂CH₂O); 2.37 (d, *J* = 14.0, H–C(5)); 2.27–1.33 (m, 10 H); 1.19, 1.14 (2d, *J* = 6.3, Me). ¹³C-NMR : 109.4, 109.3 (2s, C(6)); 96.9, 96.1 (2s, C(8a)); 71.5, 71.4 (2s, C(4a)); 66.5, 65.4 (2d, C(2)); 64.5, 64.4, 64.2, 64.1 (4t, OCH₂CH₂O); 41.5, 39.4, 33.4, 32.0, 31.8, 31.6, 31.5, 31.0, 30.8, 28.0 (10t, 10 C); 21.5, 21.3 (2q, Me). CI-MS: 244 (31, [M + NH₄ – H₂O]⁺), 227 (100, [M + 1 – H₂O]⁺), 209 (10, [M + 1 – 2 H₂O]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₂₀O₅ (244.29): C 59.00, H 8.25; found: C 58.87, H 8.11.

7. 10-Methyl-1,4,9-trioxaspiro[4.9]tetradecane-8,13-dione (7). To a soln. of 3.48 g (14.25 mmol) of **6** in 75 ml of benzene were added 9.48 g (31.37 mmol) of Pb(OAc)₄. After vigorous stirring of the white suspension at 23° for 2 h, 15 ml of EtOH were poured into the soln., and, after another 15 min, the excess Pb(OAc)₄ was filtered off and washed with benzene. The yellow filtrate was evaporated to give a sticky residue which was purified by CC (100 g SiO₂, Et₂O/hexane 5:1) yielding 3.44 g (99.5%) of 7. M.p. 106.8–108.2° (Et₂O). IR: 1720 (CO₂), 1675 (CO), 1440, 1415, 1355, 1265, 1230. ¹H-NMR: 4.99-4.88 (*m*, H-C(10)); 4.00-3.87 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.85–3.75 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 2.85 (*d*, *J* = 17.0, H-C(14)); 2.49 (*dd*, *J* = 17.0, 1.3, H-C(14)); 2.45–2.34 (*m*, 2 H-C(7), H-C(6), H-C(12)); 2.23–2.08 (*m*, H-C(12), H-C(11)); 1.89 (*pseudo ddt*, *J* = 14.3, 7.3, 2.9, H-C(11)); 1.64–1.53 (*m*, H-C(6)); 1.19 (*d*, *J* = 6.3, Me). Decoupling experiments: Irrad. at 4.99–4.88: 1.89 (*dd*, *J* = 14.3, 7.3, 2.9, 1.19 (s); irrad. at: 2.85: 2.49 (*d*, *J* = 1.4); irrad. at: 1.19: 4.93 (*dd*, *J* = 10.0, 2.9). ¹³C-NMR: 207.4 (*s*, C(13)); 171.3 (*s*, C(8)); 107.8 (*s*, C(5)); 71.7 (*d*, C(10)); 64.7, 63.8 (2*t*, OCH₂CH₂O); 49.6, 39.9, 33.6, 30.5, 29.1 (5*t*, 5 C); 19.4 (*q*, Me). CI-MS: 260 (100, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 243 (42, [*M* + 1]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₁₈O₅ (242.27): C 59.49, H 7.49; found: C 59.78, H 7.68.

8. 13-Hydroxy-10-methyl-1,4,9-trioxaspiro[4.9]tetradecan-8-one (8). To 1.77 g (7.32 mmol) of 7 in 40 ml of 0.4M ethanolic CeCl₃·7 H₂O soln., 0.55 g (14.64 mmol) of NaBH₄ were added in small portions. After 6 h, the soln. was diluted with H₂O and neutralized with 0.1M aq. HCl soln. under ice-cooling. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and sat. aq. *Rochelle* salt soln. The combined org. layers were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and chromatographed (100 g SiO₂, Et₂O/hexane 6:1): 1.53 g (86%) of 8. IR: 3530 (OH), 1730 (CO₂), 1445, 1355, 1325. ¹H-NMR (2 diastereoisomers *ca*. 5:1): 5.05–5.02 (*m*, 0.2 H–C(10)); 5.02–4.90 (*m*, 0.8 H–C(10)); 4.26–4.16 (*m*, 0.2 H–C(13)); 4.05–3.85 (*m*, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.77–3.68 (*m*, 0.8 H–C(13)); 3.09, 2.96 (2s, OH); 2.61 (*d*, *J* = 14.9, H–C(14)); 2.55–1.38 (*m*, 9 H); 1.30, 1.29 (2*d*, *J* = 6.5, Me). *Decoupling experiment*: Irrad. at 5.05–5.02, 5.02–4.90: 1.30, 1.29 (2s). ¹³C-NMR: 173.7 (s, C(8)); 112.3 (s, C(5)); 73.2, 71.7 (2*d*, C(10)); 68.0, 67.3 (2*d*, C(13)); 64.8, 64.7, 64.5, 64.2 (4t, OCH₂CH₂O); 4.1.1, 40.2, 33.3, 32.8, 31.2, 31.0, 30.9, 30.1 (8t, 8 C); 21.5, 18.1 (2q, Me). CI-MS: 262 (100, $[M + NH_4]^+$), 245 (21, $[M + 1]^+$), 244 (20, $[M + NH_4 - H_2O]^+$), 227 (25, $[M + 1 - H_2O]^+$), 178 (7), 145 (6). Anal. calc. for Ct₁₂H₂₀O₅ (244.29): C 59.00, H 8.25; found: C 58.74, H 8.33.

9. 13-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]-10-methyl-1,4,9-trioxaspiro[4.9]tetradecan-8-one (9). To a soln. of 2.5 g (10.2 mmol) of 8 and 2.4 ml (20.5 mmol) of 2,6-dimethylpyridine in 50 ml of CH_2Cl_2 at -50° were added 3.5 ml (15.3 mmol) of $(t-Bu)Me_2Si$ triflate. The mixture was allowed to warm up to 23° within 1 h, then it was diluted with CH2Cl2 and extracted with H2O and 10 ml of 0.1M aq. HCl soln. After washing with brine and drying (Na₂SO₄), the solvent was removed to yield 4.89 g of a yellowish liquid. CC (100 g SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 6:1) and removal of the remaining volatile impurities at high vacuum (air bath: $100^{\circ}/10^{-4}$ mbar) afforded 3.56 g (97%) of 9, a colorless slightly viscous oil. IR (film): 1730 (CO₂), 1440, 1355, 1245. ¹H-NMR (2 diastereoisomers ca. 4:1): 4.98-4.94 (m, 0.25 H-C(10)); 4.92-4.84 (m, 0.75 H-C(10)); 4.15-4.07 (m, 0.25 H-C(13)); 3.94-3.74 (m, 0.26 H-C(13)); OCH₂CH₂O): 3.73–3.65 (*m*, 0.75 H–C(13)); 2.48–2.28 (*m*, 3 H); 2.13–1.73 (*m*, 3 H); 1.69–1.42 (*m*, 4 H); 1.24, 1.23 $(2d, J = 6.5, Me); 0.84, 0.82 (2s, t-Bu); 0.06, 0.05, 0.02, 0.00 (4s, Me_2Si). Decoupling experiment: Irrad. at$ 4.98-4.84: 1.24, 1.23 (2s). ¹³C-NMR: 173.6, 171.6 (2s, C(8)); 110.8, 110.4 (2s, C(5)); 72.6, 71.1 (2d, C(10)); 68.7, 68.0 (2d, C(13)); 64.6, 64.3, 63.9, 63.7 (4t, OCH2CH2O); 41.2, 40.3, 35.7, 34.2, 33.4, 31.3, 30.9, 30.8, 29.5 (9t, 9 C); 25.9, 25.8 (2q, Me₃C); 21.1, 18.0 (2q, Me); 17.9 (s, Me₃C); -4.3, -4.4, -4.6, -4.7 (4q, Me₂Si). CI-MS: 376 $(31, [M + NH_4]^+), 359 (100, [M + 1]^+), 261 (5, [M + NH_4 - (t-Bu)Me_2Si]^+), 244 (52, [M + 1 - (t$ 227 (59, [M + 1 -- (t-Bu)Me₂SiOH]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₈H₃₄O₅Si (358.55): C 60.30, H 9.56; found: C 60.56, H 9.34.

10. 13-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]-10-methyl-1,4,9-trioxaspiro[4.9]tetradec-6-en-8-one (10). To a soln. of 9.48 mmol of LiN(i-Pr)₂ (freshly prepared from 1.39 ml (9.83 mmol) of (i-Pr)₂NH and 7.07 ml (9.48 mmol) of 1.34M BuLi in hexane) in 70 ml of THF at -78°, 2.52 g (7.02 mmol) of 9 in 20 ml THF were added over 20 min. After 2 h, it was cooled to -100°, and 1.82 g (7.73 mmol) of PhSeBr and 0.85 ml (7.02 mmol) of 1,3-dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one in 20 ml of THF were added in one portion. The yellow soln. was stirred for another 2 h, while it was warmed up to 23°. It was evaporated, the residue treated with 0.1M aq. HCl soln., and extracted with Et₂O/pentane 1:1. The org. layers were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated to give 3.67 g of a crude yellow oil. CC (180 g SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 15:1) afforded 3.24 g (90%) of the phenylselenylated compound as a yellow oil. Subsequently, 744 mg (1.45 mmol) of the latter product were ozonized at -78° in 40 ml of CH₂Cl₂, followed by addition of 0.48 ml (3.37 mmol) of (i-Pr)₂NH. The cooling bath was removed and the temp. raised to 23°, whereby the color of the soln. turned to yellow. Washing with 0.1M aq. HCl soln., sat. aq. NaHCO3 soln., and brine, drying (Na2SO4), and CC (20 g SiO2, hexane/Et2O 15:1) afforded 383 mg (74%) of 10 as a mixture of diastereoisomers (ratio ca. 4:1). The pure major diastereoisomer was obtained by repetitive CC and was used for the spectroscopic data. M.p. 50.7-53.4°. UV: 201 (3.8). IR: 1730 (CO₂), 1620 (C=C), 1470, 1460, 1440, 1375. ¹H-NMR: 6.04, 5.80 (*AB*, *J* = 11.7, H–C(6), H–C(7)); 4.74–4.65 (*m*, H–C(10)); 3.93-3.69 (m, OCH₂CH₂O, H-C(13)); 2.68 (dd, J = 14.6, 10.7, 1 H-C(14)); 2.11-1.81 (m, 1 H-C(14), another 3 H); 1.56–1.44 (m, 1 H); 1.27 (d, J = 6.2, Me); 0.83 (s, t-Bu); 0.01, 0.00 (2s, Me₂Si). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz): 166.9 (s, C(8)); 144.1 (d, C(6)); 124.3 (d, C(7)); 107.7 (s, C(5)); 74.5 (d, C(10)); 68.3 (d, C(13)); 64.2, 63.6 (2t, OCH₂CH₂O); 42.9, 33.9, 29.2 (3t, 3 C); 25.8 (q, Me₃C); 20.8 (q, Me); 18.0 (s, Me₃C); -4.8 (q, Me₂Si). CI-MS: 374 $(29, [M + NH_4]^+), 357 (100, [M + 1]^+), 242 (63, [M + 1 - (t-Bu)Me_2Si]^+), 225 (35, [M + 1 - (t-Bu)Me_2SiOH]^+), 225 (35, [M + 1 - (t-Bu)Me_2$ 180 (14), 159 (7), 102 (47). Anal. calc. for C₁₈H₃₂O₅Si (356.53): C 60.64, H 9.05; found: C 60.54, H 9.09.

11. 7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-7-hydroxy-10-methyl-2H-oxecine-2,5(6H)-dione (11) and 7-(3-Hydroxybutyl)-1,4,8trioxaspiro[4.6]undec-10-en-9-one (13). In a flask with 150 mg (0.42 mmol) of 10 dissolved in 5 ml of 'wet' acetone 35.2 mg (0.14 mmol) of PPTS were added. The mixture was refluxed for 10 h, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and brine, and dried (Na₂SO₄). After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the remaining 84 mg of crude product were purified by CC (4 g SiO₂, Et₂O/hexane 6:1): 57 mg (68%) of 11 and 14 mg (14%) of 13.

Data of 11: M.p. 98.3–101.1° (Et₂O/hexane). UV: 202 (4.0). IR: 3490 (OH), 1715 (CO₂), 1670 (CO), 1610 (C=C), 1415, 1365, 1325. ¹H-NMR: 6.44, 6.02 (*AB*, *J* = 12.0, H–C(3), H–C(4)); 5.20–5.14 (*m*, H–C(10)); 3.78–3.50 (br. *m*, H–C(7), OH); 2.96 (*dd*, *J* = 17.4, 2.15, 1 H–C(6)); 2.64 (*dd*, *J* = 17.4, 4.7, 1 H–C(6)); 1.95–1.88 (*m*, 2 H); 1.71–1.61 (*m*, 1 H); 1.54–1.46 (*m*, 1 H); 1.21 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, Me). Decoupling experiment: Irrad. at 5.20–5.14: 1.21 (*s*). ¹³C-NMR: 206.2 (*s*, C(5)); 162.8 (*s*, C(2)); 142.2 (*d*, C(4)); 125.6 (*d*, C(3)); 71.3, 71.2 (2*d*, C(10), C(7)); 45.1 (*t*, C(6)); 28.6, 28.0 (2*t*, C(8), C(9)); 19.3 (*q*, Me). CI-MS: 216 (100, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 199 (11, [*M* + 1]⁺). Anal. cale. for $C_{10}H_{14}O_4$ (198.22): C 60.59, H 7.12; found: C 60.30, H 7.34.

Data of 13: UV: 203 (4.0). IR (CHCl₃): 3610 (OH), 1700 (CO₂), 1630 (C=C), 1445, 1390. ¹H-NMR: 6.03 (dd, J = 12.4, 1.7, H-C(11)); 5.92 (d, J = 12.3, H-C(10)); 4.47-4.39 (m, H-C(7)); 4.01-3.86 (m, OCH₂CH₂O); 3.81-3.73 (m, H-C(3')); 2.27 (dd, J = 15.4, 8.9, H-C(6)); 2.08 (br. d, J = 15.5, H-C(6)); 1.88-1.22 (m, 5 H); 1.15 (d, J = 6.3, Me). Decoupling experiment: Irrad. at 4.47-4.39: 1.15 (d). ¹³C-NMR: 169.0 (s, C(9)); 140.8 (d, C(11)); 121.5 (d, C(10)); 106.1 (s, C(5)); 75.2 (d, C(7)); 67.3 (d, C(3')); 65.5, 64.7 (2t, OCH₂CH₂O); 43.7 (t, C(6)); 34.1, 31.2

(2t, 2 C); 23.6 (q, Me). CI-MS: 260 (100, $[M + NH_4]^+$), 243 (21, $[M + 1]^+$). Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₁₈O₅ (242.27): C 59.49, H 7.49; found: C 59.54, H 7.29.

12. (\pm) -9,10-Dihydro-10-methyl-2H-oxecine-2,5(8H)-dione ((\pm)-Pyrenolide B, 12). Method A: To a soln. of 24 mg (0.12 mmol) of 11 in 1 ml of MeCN were added 34 mg (0.14 mmol) of MeO₂CNSO₂NEt₃ (Burgess reagent) dissolved in 2 ml of MeCN. The mixture was stirred at 60° for 24 h, poured on H₂O, extracted with Et₂O, dried (Na₂SO₄), and purified by CC (2.5 g SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 4:1) to afford 17 mg (79%) of (\pm)-12.

Method B: A soln. of 114 mg (0.32 mmol) of **10** and 7 drops of CF₃COOH were stirred for 3 d. The mixture was neutralized with Na₂HPO₄ and filtered through a plug of SiO₂. The crude product was dissolved in hexane/Et₂O 4:1, wherefrom 33 mg (52%) of **11** precipitated. The mother liquor was chromatographed (3 g SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 4:1) to give 13 mg (22%) of **12**. Compound **11** was also transferred into **12** by the action of the *Burgess* reagent (see above). M.p. 81.4–83.6° ([15]: 84–84.5°). UV: 237 (3.8), 219 (3.8), $\lambda_{\min} = 229$ (3.7). IR: 1725 (CO₂), 1660 (CO), 1605 (C=C), 1450, 1440, 1375. ¹H-NMR: 6.56, 6.03 (*AB*, *J* = 12.5, H–C(3), H–C(4)); 6.50 (*ddd*, *J* = 16.3, 11.4, 3.2, H–C(7)); 5.91 (*dd*, *J* = 16.4, 2.4, H–C(6)); 5.17–5.06 (*m*, H–C(10)); 2.52–2.42 (*m*, 1 H); 2.28–2.14 (*m*, 1 H); 1.97–1.75 (*m*, 2 H); 1.24 (*d*, *J* = 6.4, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 197.5 (*s*, C(5)); 167.1 (*s*, C(2)); 148.2 (*d*, C(4)); 134.8 (*d*, C(3)); 133.0 (*d*, C(7)); 128.0 (*d*, C(6)); 73.5 (*d*, C(10)); 35.6 (*t*, C(8)); 31.2 (*t*, C(9)); 21.2 (*q*, Me). CI-MS: 198 (100, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 181 (5, [*M* + 1]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₀H₁₂O₃ (180.20): C 66.65, H 6.71; found: C 66.70, H 6.73.

REFERENCES

- S. Bienz, A. Guggisberg, K. Kostova, B. Milenkov, R. Wälchli, M. Hesse, in 'Biologically Active Natural Products', Eds. K. Hostettmann and P.J. Leo, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987, p.235; S. Bienz, A. Guggisberg, B. Milenkov, M. Hesse, in '31st Int. Congress of Pure and Appl. Chem., Invited Lectures', Ed. Sofia, Bulgaria, 1987, p. 78.
- [2] S. Bienz, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 2146; ibid. 1988, 71, 1704.
- [3] B. Milenkov, A. Guggisberg, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 760; S. Stanchev, B. Milenkov, M. Hesse, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6107.
- [4] S. Stanchev, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1990, 73, 460; K. Kostova, M. Hesse, ibid. 1984, 67, 1713.
- [5] S. Stanchev, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 1052.
- [6] B. Milenkov, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 1323.
- [7] S. Bienz, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 1333.
- [8] F. Hadj-Abo, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1992, 75, 1834.
- [9] S. Bienz, A. Guggisberg, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1708.
- [10] I. J. Borowitz, G. Gonis, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1964, 19, 1151; I. J. Borowitz, G. J. Williams, L. Gross, R. Rapp, J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2013; I. J. Borowitz, G. J. Williams, L. Gross, H. Beller, D. Kurland, N. Suciu, V. Bandurco, R. D. G. Rigby, *ibid.* 1972, 37, 581.
- [11] I.J. Borowitz, G. Gonis, R. Kelsey, R. Rapp, G.J. Williams, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 3032.
- [12] R. M. Lukes, G. I. Poos, L. H. Sarett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 1401.
- [13] M. Nukina, T. Sassa, M. Ikeda, Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 301; M. Nukina, M. Ikeda, T. Sassa, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1980, 44, 2761.
- [14] S. Suzuki, A. Tanaka, K. Yamashita, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1987, 51, 3095.
- [15] M. Asaoka, S. Naito, H. Takei, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2103.
- [16] A. Moricz, Diplomarbeit, Universität Zürich, 1993.
- [17] J.-L. Luche, L. Rodriguez-Hahn, P. Crabbé, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 601.
- [18] E. M. Burgess, H. R. Penton Jr., E. A. Taylor, J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 26.